

CREDIT OPINION

14 April 2016

New Issue

Rate this Research >>

Contacts

William Oh 415-274-1739
 AVP-Analyst
 william.oh@moody.com

Travis George 415-274-1715
 Analyst
 travis.george@moody.com

City of Seattle, WA

New Issue- Moody's assigns Aaa to Seattle, WA's UTGO bonds; Aa1 assigned to LTGO bonds

Summary Rating Rationale

Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa rating to the City of Seattle, Washington's \$38.2 million Unlimited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2016, as well as Aa1 ratings to the city's \$108.6 million Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement and Refunding Bonds, 2016A and \$6.0 million Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2016B (Taxable). At this time, Moody's affirms the Aaa rating on the city's outstanding parity general obligation unlimited tax bonds, as well as the Aa1 rating on the city's outstanding parity general obligation limited tax bonds. The outlook is stable.

The Aaa rating reflects the city's substantial and rapidly growing tax base that forms the economic center of the State of Washington; socioeconomic measures that are amongst the strongest in the country; solid finances that include healthy reserves and liquidity; a very strong management team with prudent institutionalized financial practices; a manageable debt profile that consists of fixed-rate debt; and moderate pension and OPEB liabilities.

The Aa1 rating incorporates the city's general credit profile, but incorporates the weaker security of the city's limited tax pledge.

Credit Strengths

- » A large and rapidly growing tax base and economy that is the regional economic center
- » Strong socioeconomic measures, including high median family income, full value per capita, and low unemployment
- » Strong management team
- » Healthy financial position that includes ample reserves and available liquidity
- » Favorable debt profile that includes only fixed-rate debt and relatively rapid amortization

Credit Challenges

- » Exposure to economically sensitive revenues
- » Somewhat dependent upon a small number of high profile private-sector firms for growth

Rating Outlook

The outlook is stable, reflecting our view that the city is well positioned financially and that the local economy will continue to be amongst the nation's strongest.

Factors that Could Lead to an Upgrade

- » Not applicable

Factors that Could Lead to a Downgrade

- » Material weakening in the city's finances
- » Prolonged deterioration in the economy and tax base
- » Substantial growth in debt and/or pension liabilities

Key Indicators

Seattle (City of) WA	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014
Economy/Tax Base					
Total Full Value (\$000)	\$ 123,051,680	\$ 119,424,061	\$ 116,796,890	\$ 116,995,513	\$ 128,205,754
Full Value Per Capita	\$ 201,065	\$ 195,105	\$ 189,452	\$ 186,715	\$ 200,165
Median Family Income (% of US Median)	139.7%	139.7%	144.5%	144.5%	144.5%
Finances					
Operating Revenue (\$000)	\$ 993,462	\$ 1,040,555	\$ 1,080,845	\$ 1,138,767	\$ 1,180,513
Fund Balance as a % of Revenues	11.5%	14.0%	18.7%	19.3%	19.7%
Cash Balance as a % of Revenues	12.3%	13.9%	19.0%	22.2%	19.7%
Debt/Pensions					
Net Direct Debt (\$000)	\$ 863,788	\$ 882,956	\$ 832,539	\$ 897,025	\$ 897,610
Net Direct Debt / Operating Revenues (x)	0.9x	0.8x	0.8x	0.8x	0.8x
Net Direct Debt / Full Value (%)	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Revenues (x)	N/A	N/A	1.9x	2.1x	2.4x
Moody's - adjusted Net Pension Liability (3-yr average) to Full Value (%)	N/A	N/A	1.8%	2.1%	2.2%

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Recent Developments

Since our last review in April 2015, the city's credit profile continues to strengthen. Taxable assessed value grew from \$144.5 billion in 2015 to \$163.3 billion in 2016, an increase of 13%. Unemployment remains low at 4.2% as of December 2015, even as the total labor force grew by 6.6%. Despite recent reports that indicate Boeing intends to begin a round of substantial layoffs in the region, demand for the company's aircraft remains high. Online retailer Amazon continues to expand its footprint in Seattle, acquiring and developing substantial downtown office space to house its growing workforce. Preliminary figures suggest the city's total reserves grew to \$384 million in 2015, driven by growth each of the city's major revenues sources, including property, B&O, and sales taxes.

Detailed Rating Considerations

Economy and Tax Base: Wealthy, Urban City in the Pacific Northwest

The City of Seattle is the commercial and tourist hub of the Puget Sound region and the economic center of the State of Washington. Software development and aircraft manufacturing are key components of the local economy, and the area serves as the headquarters of or major operating base to some of the world's most well-known international corporations, including Amazon, Boeing, Microsoft, and Starbucks. At just 4.2% as of December 2015 (according to data from the Bureau of Labor Statistics), the city's unemployment rate

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

continues to outpace the state and the nation, even as the local labor force grew by 6.6%. Despite recent reports indicating Boeing will begin a round of layoffs in the region, demand for the company's aircraft remains high. Online retailer Amazon continues to expand its footprint in Seattle, acquiring and developing substantial downtown office space to house its growing workforce. Median family income in the city is amongst the highest among large urban areas in the United States at 152.2% of the national average. Full value per capita, a proxy measure of wealth, is robust at \$256,000. Price appreciation and new construction are continuing to drive the city's assessed value higher. In 2016, assessed value hit a new high of \$163.3 billion, up 13% from 2015. Median home prices are up 24% year-over-year, and commercial office vacancy rates continues to decline. The city is forecasting a slowing of growth in 2017 back to 2014 levels, though Moody's notes that total housing permits are still projected to grow by 7.2%.

Financial Operations and Reserves: Strong Revenue Growth

The city's finances are healthy and a credit strength, driven by strong growth amongst the city's diversified revenue streams. The city's general fund is the city's principal operating fund, and is comprised principally of property taxes (22.8% in 2014), business taxes (22.5%), retail sales and use taxes (16.9%), and utility taxes (11.0%). Preliminary figures for 2015 indicate overall tax revenue growth of 6.9%. The city's property tax rate is subject to a \$3.60 per \$1,000 cap, not including the rate for voted bonds; the city's current rate subject to the rate limit is \$2.60. Outside of new growth and voter-approved levy lid lifts, operating property tax revenues are limited to 1% annual growth. The city's more economically sensitive revenues were up strongly in 2015, with preliminary numbers showing sales tax revenues up 11% and business tax receipts up 4.6%. The city has forecasted sales tax and business tax growth of 4.5% and 4.6%, respectively, for 2016. The city updates its revenue projections three times a year.

The city's largest expense is for public safety (44.3% of general fund revenues in 2014), followed by transfers out (23.5%) (principally for nonmajor special revenues funds including Park and Recreation and Human Services), and general government (16.7%). The city's 2016 budget includes 30 new police officers, as well as additional funding for homelessness and affordable housing. State law requires that the city balance its budget, and the city uses a quarterly supplemental budget process to consistently deliver structurally balanced financial operations.

Since 2011, the city's general fund revenues have exceeded its expenditures, allowing the city to build up its total fund balance from \$204.8 million (20% of general fund revenues) in 2011 to \$317.1 million (26.9%) in 2014; available reserves (those not designated as nonspendable or restricted) increased from \$145.3 million (14.2%) to \$216.7 million (18.3%). Preliminary 2015 figures show total general fund reserves growing to \$384 million, although \$34.5 million has been used in 2016 to provide retroactive payments to employees under recent labor union contracts for cost of living adjustments. Despite this sizeable draw, city officials expect reserves levels to be flat by the end of 2016, reflecting strong revenue growth and conservative budgeting.

LIQUIDITY

The city's liquidity position is healthy. The city's general fund cash and equity in pooled investments at the end of 2014 was \$216.7 million, or 18.4% of general fund revenues. Functionally, however, the city pools its cash and investments across funds. This consolidated pool held \$1.6 billion at the end of 2014 and an estimated \$1.8 billion at the end of 2015. City funds may withdraw cash out of the pool without prior notice or penalty.

Debt and Pensions: Manageable Debt Profile; New Pension System to Open in 2017

The city maintains a very moderate level of debt that amortizes quickly. Net direct debt is just 0.7% of full value and 1.0 times general fund revenues. The ten-year amortization rate of the city's debt is average at 64.2%, with 80% of the city's limited tax general obligation bonds being retired within ten years. Potential future bond issuances include additional money (expected to be less than \$200 million) for improvements along the city's waterfront as well as annual bond issuances of \$50-\$60 million annually for general government projects.

DEBT STRUCTURE

With the current issuances, the city's general government debt will consist of \$327 million in fixed-rate unlimited tax and \$800.1 million in limited tax general obligation bonds. Peak debt service on the city's LTGO bonds is \$102.4 million in 2017, or 8.7% of 2014 general fund revenues. Officials estimate 37% of the city's 2016 LTGO debt service will be paid for from dedicated revenues outside the general fund, including commercial parking taxes and real estate excise taxes. As noted previously, 80% of the city's LTGO debt amortizes within ten years.

DEBT-RELATED DERIVATIVES

The city has no debt-related derivatives.

PENSIONS AND OPEB

The city manages a single-employer defined benefit public employee retirement plan (Seattle City Employees' Retirement System), the Firemen's Pension Fund, and the Police Relief and Pension Fund. The city's three-year adjusted net pension liability (ANPL) is moderate at 1.71% of full value and 2.4 times operating revenues. ANPL reflects certain adjustments we make to improve comparability of reported pension liabilities. The adjustments are not intended to replace the city's reported liability information, but to improve comparability with other rated entities. Notably, a sizeable portion of this liability is attributable to the city's utilities. Additionally, the city may, under state law, levy a \$0.225 per \$1,000 property tax levy to cover the Firemen's fund. Beginning on January 1, 2017, SCERS will close to new entrants, and the city will open a new system (SCERS 2) to new employees. SCERS 2 will decrease benefit levels, increase the minimum retirement age, and defer retirement eligibility by increasing the age-plus-years-of-service requirement for retirement with full benefits. The city records an implicit subsidy for OPEB.

Management and Governance: Strong Management With Sound Institutionalized Practices

Washington cities have an institutional framework score of 'Aa', or strong. Despite some sales tax volatility, cities benefit from property tax levies whereby collections can be increased up to 1% of the prior year. Cities have both the ability and willingness to make mid-year budgetary reductions.

Moody's views the city's management team as strong. The strength of the management team is buttressed by codified practices that enhance the city's financial profile. As a matter of policy, the city appropriates sufficient money into the Emergency Subfund to the maximum amount allowed by state law (\$0.375 per \$1,000). Additionally, 0.5% of forecasted tax revenues are automatically contributed to the city's "Rainy Day Fund," also known as the Revenue Stabilization Account, as are 50% of any unanticipated excess General Subfund balances at year end. These contributions are suspended when forecasted nominal tax growth rate is negative or when the Rainy Day Fund exceeds 5% of total tax revenues.

Legal Security

The unlimited tax general obligation bonds are secured by the city's full faith, credit and resources and unlimited property tax pledge, while the limited tax general obligation bonds are secured by the city's full faith, credit, and resources and pledge to levy taxes annually within the constitutional and statutory tax limitation provided by law without a vote of the people.

Use of Proceeds

The UTGO bonds will be used to finance a portion of the city's Seawall Project.

The 2016A bonds will be used for various capital improvements, as well as refund certain portions of the city's outstanding limited tax general obligation debt.

The 2016B bonds will be used to assist the Pike Place Market Preservation and Development Authority in undertaking various capital improvements.

Obligor Profile

Seattle is the economic center for the Pacific Northwest. The city is a full-service city, with a relatively affluent population of more than 625,000, a large and well-educated labor force, and ties to the broader metropolitan area including the cities of Bellevue and Everett.

Methodology

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Ratings Methodologies page on www.moodys.com for a copy of this methodology.

Ratings

Exhibit 2

Seattle (City of) WA

Issue	Rating
-------	--------

Unlimited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2016 Aaa

Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$38,205,000
Expected Sale Date	05/04/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation

Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement And Refunding Bonds, 2016A Aa1

Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$108,585,000
Expected Sale Date	05/04/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation Limited Tax

Limited Tax General Obligation Improvement Bonds, 2016B Aa1

Rating Type	Underlying LT
Sale Amount	\$6,040,000
Expected Sale Date	05/04/2016
Rating Description	General Obligation Limited Tax

Source: Moody's Investors Service

© 2016 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

REPORT NUMBER 1022227

Contacts

William Oh
AVP-Analyst
william.oh@moodys.com

415-274-1739

Travis George
Analyst
travis.george@moodys.com

415-274-1715

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454